

AD HOC COUNCIL WORKING GROUP ON
THE ORGANIZATION'S STRATEGIC PLAN
12th session
Agenda item 2

CWGSP 12/2
26 August 2011
ENGLISH ONLY

STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF RESOLUTION A.1013(26)

Note by the Secretariat

SUMMARY

<i>Executive summary:</i>	This document updates the Working Group on the status of implementation of resolution A.1013(26) and of its related migration plan
<i>Strategic direction:</i>	4
<i>High-level action:</i>	4.0.5
<i>Planned output:</i>	4.0.5.1
<i>Action to be taken:</i>	Paragraph 6
<i>Related documents:</i>	MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.4; TC.1/Circ.66; FAL.3/Circ.206; LEG.1/Circ. 6; Circular letter No.3085; C/ES.25/3; resolution A.1013(26)

Introduction

1 At its twenty-sixth session, the Assembly approved resolution A.1013(26) containing the Guidelines on the application of the Strategic Plan and the High Level Action Plan of the Organization ('the GAP'), an implementation (or migration) plan for which had been endorsed by the Council at its twenty-fifth extraordinary session.

2 This document updates the Working Group on progress made in implementing the GAP, noting that the migration plan states that "It is the goal to achieve full implementation of the adopted Guidelines by no later than the beginning of the 2012-2013 biennium"¹.

Current status

3 With respect to transitional measures until full implementation, the migration plan envisaged, in paragraph 2.2, the following actions:

- .1 deeper understanding of the GAP: presentations on the GAP have been given to some IMO organs and to their Secretaries, in particular. The process of aligning the Guidelines on organization and method of work of those organs with the GAP, and then applying the new Guidelines, has

¹ C/ES.25/3, annex 3, paragraph 4.1

generated considerable thought and discussion, thereby also enriching understanding of the GAP throughout the Organization;

- .2 phased-in and timely application of the GAP: this has indeed been the case for all IMO organs;
- .3 transitional reporting formats to be developed: in fact, this was not necessary as all IMO organs have been led by the reporting formats contained in the GAP. However, this has not been consistent or uniform and requires improvement through a disciplined approach strictly in line with the GAP; and
- .4 development of support systems: considerable effort was initially put into this by the Secretariat which, however, could not be sustained. The system developed is a GISIS module on 'Organizational Planning' which is presently at a final prototype stage and will be completed by the end of 2011, in line with the implementation plan's deadline.

4 The latter plan also includes, in paragraph 3.1, a set of criteria to be considered or applied, as appropriate, by each IMO organ, when aligning their own Guidelines with resolution A.1013(26). The table below indicates, for each organ, whether the criteria have been addressed through the alignment of their Guidelines.

Implementation plan criteria	IMO organ					
	Council	MSC	MEPC	LEG	TCC	FAL
Be guided by the suggestions set out in paragraph 3.12.3 of document CWGSP 8/3 and, especially, paragraphs 2.17 and 2.18 of document CWGSP 9/2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Include the strategic planning process	✓ By reference	✓	✓	✓ By reference	✓ By reference	✓ By reference
Include the provisions on management and control	✓ By reference	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Identify the new responsibilities of Member States and the Secretariat	✓ By reference	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Provide a time frame for the finalization of their review and alignment process during the 2010-2011 biennium	July 2010	July 2011	July 2011	November 2010	July 2011	July 2011
Consider the linkage to results-based budgeting and the alignment of the planning cycles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

5 The table clearly demonstrates that incorporation of the Guidelines into those of each organ has been completed in line with the timetable originally envisaged.

Action requested of the Working Group

6 The Working Group is invited to take note of the information provided and decide as it may deem appropriate.